



Aligning Building Performance Standards and Energy Codes

Introduction

Building performance standards (BPS) and building energy codes are two important strategies to decarbonize the built environment. While energy codes primarily address newly constructed buildings and, to a limited extent, existing buildings undergoing major upgrades such as additions and alternations, BPS specifically target existing buildings by setting emissions reductions goals that decrease over time.

NEEP has previously outlined the challenges of aligning these policies in our 2022 report [The Nexus of Energy Codes and Building Performance Standards](#), but since its publication, more attention has been given to how jurisdictions can strategically develop complementary energy codes and building performance standards. For example, in May 2023 the American Society of Heating, Refrigerating, and Air-conditioning Engineers (ASHRAE) published a [BPS Technical Resource Guide](#) that places considerable weight on how metrics for BPS and codes can be designed to achieve similar goals and avoid competing priorities.

Although energy codes and BPS serve slightly different functions, they can complement each other to jointly achieve decarbonization goals. Energy code provisions that are designed to prepare buildings for a BPS can help avoid major costly retrofits. This resource explores how several jurisdictions have successfully aligned their energy code updates with building performance standards, looking at both existing and new buildings. It will also discuss innovative approaches that can better align energy codes and BPS, including lessons learned from a conversation with Colorado's Energy Office.

How to Incorporate BPS into Energy Codes for Existing Buildings

Several jurisdictions have incorporated provisions intended to support BPS for existing buildings into their energy code.

In **Seattle, Washington**, an amendment¹ to the commercial energy code requires building owners to replace any heating, ventilation, and air-conditioning (HVAC) system needing replacement with a system that is *“not provided by electric resistance or fossil fuel combustion appliances”*.² There is a similar code amendment³ for water heating systems that *“shall be provided by an electric air-source heat pump water heating system”*.⁴ These amendments provide a great opportunity to support Seattle's BPS by requiring owners to decarbonize their

¹ Section C503.4.6 of Seattle's [Amendment](#) to the 2018 Energy Code

² This language is taken from Section C403.1.4 of the [2021 Washington State Energy Code](#), which is referenced in Section C503.4.6 of Seattle's Energy Code Amendment

³ Section C503.5 of Seattle's [Amendment](#) to the 2018 Energy Code

⁴ This language is taken from Section C404.2.1 of the [2021 Washington State Energy Code](#), where C404 is referenced in Section C503.5 of Seattle's Energy Code Amendment



space and water heating equipment when upgrading their systems, which will help achieve the city’s emissions reductions targets outlined in the BPS.

Denver, Colorado also has provisions in its energy code relating to HVAC and water heating system replacements. The [2022 Denver Energy Code](#) has provisions⁵ requiring that when building owners replace a gas furnace, the new system must either meet a threshold of limited nitrogen emissions or must have an Annual Fuel Utilization Intensity (AFUE) of 90 percent or better, which would improve the efficiency when compared to an existing system. In addition, when replacing a gas furnace or gas water heater, the Denver code requires⁶ either that the owner test the gas pipes to minimize gas leaks or develop an “[Electrification Retrofit Feasibility Report](#)”. Although these provisions do not directly require electrification, they do promote efficient use of gas equipment, and help determine if the project can feasibly become all electric in the future.

The [New Buildings Institute’s \(NBI\) Existing Building Decarbonization Code](#) gives examples of model code language that can incorporate a jurisdiction’s BPS into the energy code itself.⁷ Embedding the BPS into the energy code aligns the goals of both tools and can ensure that decisions on improvements to both are well coordinated rather than occurring independently.

How to Incorporate BPS into Energy Codes for New Buildings

Although the examples to this point emphasize existing building provisions, energy codes can also help prepare new buildings for the future development of a BPS. One example is in the [2021 International Energy Conservation Code \(IECC\)](#), which contains “benchmarking ready” provisions for buildings over 25,000 square feet.⁸ These provisions help commercial buildings prepare to monitor and record energy data, so that tracking metrics is dramatically less burdensome when a BPS is adopted. This provision has been updated in the 2024 IECC draft⁹ which requires buildings over 10,000 square feet¹⁰ to comply. Adding provisions that prepare jurisdictions for a BPS into the national model energy code will allow buildings currently under construction to avoid future costly retrofits. States and municipalities should prioritize updating their energy code to the latest national model code to prepare new buildings for a BPS, and new model codes should add more BPS-ready provisions to support the emissions reductions goals of jurisdictions across the country.

⁵ Section C503.3.2 of the [2022 Denver Energy Code](#)

⁶ The requirements are outlined in Section C503.3.3 for space heating, and in Section C503.4.1 for water heating of the [2022 Denver Energy Code](#)

⁷ The NBI model code language is outlined in Section C502.1.2, C503.1.4, and R503.1.4 of the [NBI Existing Building Decarbonization Code](#)

⁸ Section C405.12 of the [2021 IECC](#)

⁹ The 2024 IECC is still under development and provisions are subject to change. The information in this document is from the 2024 IECC Commercial Public Comment Draft #2 Update from July 6, 2023

¹⁰ Section C405.13 of [2024 IECC Public Comment Draft #2](#)



Lessons Learned from Colorado

NEEP recently met with Adam Berry, Senior Program Manager for Building Codes from [Colorado's Energy Office](#) (CEO). Adam shared that considerable time could have been saved if the team working on BPS and the team working on energy codes had coordinated at the outset. While setting the BPS targets, the CEO sought to account for future building stock growth. To do so required the CEO to factor building and energy codes into the decision-making process. By estimating the impact of future energy code savings, targets for the BPS would have been easier to set. Colorado determined the energy code savings using a [report by the Pacific Northwest National Laboratory \(PNNL\)](#), who estimate the improvement of the energy code between model code updates. Although it was challenging to align BPS and Codes,¹¹ by looking at the bigger picture and setting long-term targets without diving into specific energy code details, the CEO was able to set complimentary targets for their BPS that aligned with the projected energy code savings of the 2021 IECC. He also emphasized the importance of metric alignment, and making sure that the metrics used in Codes and BPS are similar. Codes historically have used [Energy Use Intensity \(EUI\)](#) to measure savings, while BPS often use the percentage of greenhouse gas emissions reductions. EUI does not account for all emissions, which leaves out important considerations like embodied carbon. Using similar metrics can present opportunities to better align goals.

Innovative Approaches to Align Codes and BPS

Outcome-based energy codes are a strategy for code compliance that look at a building's actual energy use as a metric, rather than a traditional prescriptive or performance approach. Outcome-based codes are enforced by creating a designated energy budget for a building. This budget would be set based on building type or application.¹² Bringing attention to how buildings operate rather than just considering their design and construction provides jurisdictions with better data about actual building performance, extends code enforcement past the occupancy permit date, and allows for adjustable targets for energy use that can decrease over time.¹³

In October 2017, the National Institute of Building Sciences in partnership with NBI developed [guidance for implementing an outcome base compliance path in energy codes](#). This guidance could be used as a framework for future model codes, and serve as a guide for communities seeking to adopt outcome-based codes. This strategy for code enforcement would align with BPS by setting the same goals and targets based on actual emissions reductions and energy use of a building, and would operate concurrently rather than having code enforcement end and BPS compliance begin. Boulder, Colorado has a climate commitment to “design and adopt net zero energy, outcome verified codes for all building types by 2031”, and its current [2020 Energy Code](#) has a measured performance outcome, where “*projects may demonstrate compliance with this code by documenting*

¹¹ As described by Adam's colleague Crystal Egelkamp in a May 2023 Presentation at the Department of Energy National Energy Codes Conference on Slide 44: https://www.energycodes.gov/sites/default/files/2023-05/2023_NECC_BPS_and_Codes.pdf

¹² <https://lightingcontrolsassociation.org/2021/07/23/outcome-based-the-future-of-energy-codes/>

¹³ https://newbuildings.org/code_policy/outcome-based-energy-codes/



*that the building has achieved the EUI performance” for that specific building type “based on metered energy use after occupancy”.*¹⁴

Using published sources to set targets presents another opportunity to align codes and BPS.¹⁵ [ASHRAE Standard 100-2018](#) provides a list of energy efficiency measures designed to improve building performance that can help existing buildings meet energy targets set by a BPS. Energy Star also develops [National Median EUIs](#), which can be used as a baseline to compare future building emissions reductions goals to the energy use of different building types.

Conclusion

Energy codes and BPS can work together to achieve building decarbonization goals. Energy codes can include provisions for both new and existing buildings that assist with achieving the targets set by a BPS. The examples provided for Seattle and Denver outline best practices for existing buildings to reduce emissions, while Boulder demonstrates opportunities to use outcome-based codes to strategically align energy codes and BPS. The Colorado Energy Office example shows that metrics also need to be aligned, and that coordination between offices is critical when setting targets. National model codes can also do more to align BPS and codes, by adding provisions that prepare future existing buildings for upgrades ahead of time, before a BPS is implemented. These examples can be replicated across the NEEP region to drive further energy savings.

¹⁴ Section C407.3.3 of the [2020 City of Boulder Colorado Energy Conservation Code](#)

¹⁵ https://www.energycodes.gov/sites/default/files/2023-05/2023_NECC_BPS_Panel.pdf