Net Savings: Application of Results and New Methods

Jennifer Meissner Program Manager, Evaluation

EM&V Forum Annual Public Meeting December 12, 2012



NYSERDA Background

- State authority/public benefit corporation founded in 1975
- ~\$365M annual EE program budget from ratepayer system benefits charge
- Major EE programs began in 1998
- Programs serve all sectors and are nearly statewide in coverage
- Energy savings count toward New York's "15-by-15" goal



Project Recommendation

- Explore circumstances and situations suited to marketbased as opposed to participant-based NTG ratios, and the associated methodological implications.
- Further explore methodologies and their implications to better understand the information value for prospective and retrospective use of NTG factors.
- Retrospectively measuring NTG informs prospective estimates and helps explain program performance.
- Prospective application of NTG is important to support energy efficiency policy as it reduces investment risk and uncertainty for program administrators.



Summary of Key NTG Challenges

- 1. Long-standing, mature programs
- 2. Rapidly changing and widening markets
- 3. Growing number of programs and administrators within jurisdictions
- 4. Timeliness of NTG evaluation results



Long-Standing/Mature Programs

 Long-standing or mature programs can produce large and increasing spillover and market effects

Especially if programs are market based

- May require more reliable and expensive methods to claim larger savings values
 - e.g., site visits, market effects studies
- Need to document and agree on key causal mechanisms early on in order to properly target the evaluation and gain support for the ultimate results
 - Importance of logic models



Rapidly Changing and Widening Markets

- Rapidly changing and widening markets can be difficult to assess
 - e.g., market for CFLs changed rapidly in some program states and widening national market makes comparison difficult
- Retrospective assessments alone may become less timely and informative
- Prospective NTG estimates can inform program expectations and provide a benchmark for evaluation to test

Growing Number of Programs and Administrators

- NY now has 100+ EE programs and 12 administrators
- Concern about overlapping spillover claims has never been more real
- Concern about survey fatigue
- Statewide, sector-based spillover studies
 - Successful NYSERDA model to be expanded
- Top-down econometric modeling
 - Limited experience to date but a promising addition to net savings evaluation approaches
- The next set of challenges will include:
 - How to adequately address spillover from so many programs?
 - How to divide the resulting savings among many PAs?



Timeliness of NTG Results

- Traditional retrospective results lag significantly after the end of a program year/cycle
 - Limits usefulness for program planning and prospective application
- Reducing lag time and attempting more "real time" evaluation
 - Experience in NY and elsewhere





Jennifer Meissner Program Manager, Evaluation NYSERDA jam@nyserda.org 518.862.1090 ext. 3367

